

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Communities, Inc.			PETITION NO:	Z-22	
PHONE#: 770-560-9167 EMAIL: jim@jimchapmancommunities.com			HEARING DATE (PC):	03-01-16	
REPRESENTATIVE: Garvis L. Sams, Jr.			HEARING DATE (BOC)):03-15-16	
PHONE#: 770-422-7016 EMAIL: gsams@slhb-law.com			PRESENT ZONING:	RA-5, R-20	
TITLEHOLDER: Due to individual parcels, a complete list of					
titleholders is	available in the Zoning Office		PROPOSED ZONING:	RA-5	
PROPERTY	LOCATION: <u>North side of Cooper Lake Drive</u>	e, west of			
Atlanta Road			PROPOSED USE:	Single Family	
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: Cooper Lake Drive		SIZE OF TRACT:			
			DISTRICT:		
PHYSICAL	CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Vacant and	existing	LAND LOT(S):		
single-family houses			PARCEL(S):	23, 24, 26, 27, 112	
			TAXES: PAID X DUE		
			COMMISSION DISTRIC	CT: 2	
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT				- · · · <u></u> -	
		<u>Adjacen</u>	t Future Land Use:		
NORTH:	R-20/ Spring Hill Subdivision	North: Low Density Residential (LDR) and Medium Density Residential (MDR)			
SOUTH:	R-20/ Single-family houses		edium Density Residential	VIDK)	
EAST:	RA-5/ Holden Estates	(MDR)			
WEST:	RA-5/ Olde Whiteoak Estates	South: Medium Density Residential			

WEST: RA-5/ Olde Whiteoak Estates

(MDR) West: Low Density Residential (LDR)

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED____PETITION NO:____SPOKESMAN _____

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED MOTION BY REJECTED____SECONDED____ HELD____CARRIED_____ **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION** APPROVED_____MOTION BY_____ REJECTED____SECONDED____ HELD____CARRIED____

STIPULATIONS:



Z-22



This map is provided for display and planning purposes only. It is not meant to be a legal description.

100 200

0



City Boundary Zoning Boundary

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Com	nmunities, Inc.	PETITION NO	D.: <u>Z-22</u>
PRESENT ZONING: RA-5, R-20	PETITION FO	DR: RA-5	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS: S	taff Member Responsib	e: Terry Martin, MPA	<u>\</u>
Land Use Plan Recommendation	Low Density Reside	ntial and Medium Den	sity Residential
Proposed Number of Units: 16	Overall	Density: 3.45	Units/Acre

Staff estimate for allowable # of units: 12 **Units* Increase of:** 4 **Units/Lots** *Estimate could be higher or lower based on engineered plans taking into account topography, shape of property, utilities, roadways, natural features such as creeks, wetlands, etc., and other unforeseen circumstances. Five lots on the current RA-5 property and eight lots on the current R-20 property.

The applicant is requesting a rezoning from the existing RA-5 and R-20 Single-family Residential Districts to the RA-5 Single-family Residential District in order to develop a residential subdivision of 16 detached homes. The proposed homes will range from 3,000 square feet and higher and be traditional in architecture with proposed selling prices of \$700,000 and above. The proposed street will be a private drive.

The applicant is showing contemporaneous variances including:

- 1. Reduce the road frontage from 35 feet to 28 feet on a cul-de-sac and from 50 feet to 37 feet on an interior road;
- 2. Reduce the lot width from 50 feet to 45 feet on a cul-de-sac;
- 3. Waive the front setback from 25 feet to 20 feet.

Cemetery Preservation: No comment.

PRESENT ZONING: RA-5, R-20

PETITION NO.: Z-22

PETITION FOR: RA-5

SCHOOL COMMENTS:

			Number of
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Nickajack	1,017	Under	
Elementary Campbell	1,437	Over	
Middle Campbell	2,669	Over	

High

School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time. •

Additional Comments: Approval of this petition could negatively impact the enrollment at Campbell Middle School and Campbell High School as these schools are over capacity at this time.

FIRE COMMENTS:

After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.: Z-22
PRESENT ZONING: RA-5, R-20	PETITION FOR: RA-5
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

PLANNING COMMENTS:

The applicant is requesting a rezoning from RA-5 and R-20 to RA-5 for the purpose of a single family residential subdivision. The .8994 acre site is located on the northwest side of Powder Springs Road at 2380 Powder Springs Road.

HB-489 Intergovernmental Agreement Zoning Amendment Notification:

Is the application site within one half $(1/2)$ mile of a city boundary?	■ Yes	□ No
If yes, has the city of Smyrna been notified?	■ Yes	🗆 No / N/A

Comprehensive Plan

The parcels are within a Low Density Residential (LDR) and Medium Density Residential (MDR) future land use categories, with R-20 and RA-5 zoning designations. The purpose of the Low Density Residential (LDR) category is to provide for areas that are suitable for low density housing between one (1) and two and one-half (2.5) dwelling units per acre, and non supportive senior living housing that in certain circumstances may reach five (5) dwelling units per acre, depending on existing conditions such as product type and mix, structure/building height, tract size, topographic conditions, etc in order to provide compatibility with adjacent residential uses. The purpose of the Medium Density Residential (MDR) category is to provide for areas that are suitable for moderate density housing between two and one-half (2.5) and five (5) dwelling units per acre. This category presents a range of densities.

Specific Area Policy Guidelines:

There are no specific policy guidelines for this area in the Comprehensive Plan.

Adjacent Future Land Use:

North: Low Density Residential (LDR) and Medium Density Residential (MDR)East: Medium Density Residential (MDR)South: Medium Density Residential (MDR)West: Low Density Residential (LDR)

Master Plan/Corridor Study

The property is not located within the boundary of a Plan or Corridor Study

Historic Preservation

After consulting various county historic resources surveys, historic maps, archaeology surveys and Civil War trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant historic resources appear to be affected by this application. No further comment. No action by applicant requested at this time.

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.: Z-22 PETITION FOR: RA-5			
PRESENT ZONING: RA-5, R-20				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****			
PLANNING COMMENTS: (Continued)				
<u>Design Guidelines</u>				
Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? If yes, design guidelines area Does the current site plan comply with the design requirements?	■ No			
Incentive Zones				
Is the property within an Opportunity Zone? The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provides \$3,500 tax c jobs are being created. This incentive is available for new or exist				
Is the property within an Enterprise Zone?				
Is the property eligible for incentives through the Commerce Program?	■ No n is an incentive that provides a reduction in			
For more information on incentives, please call the Community 770.528.2018 or find information online at <u>http://economic.cobbc</u>				
<u>Special Districts</u>				
Is this property within the Cumberland Special District #1 (hotel/ □ Yes ■ No	motel fee)?			
Is this property within the Cumberland Special District #2 (ad val □ Yes ■ No	lorem tax)?			
Is this property within the Six Flags Special Service District? □ Yes ■ No				
 Is the property within the Dobbins Airfield Safety Zone? Yes □ No If so, which particular safety zone is this property within? □ CZ (Clear Zone) □ APZ I (Accident Potential Zone □ APZ II (Accident Potential Zone II) ■ Bird / Wildlife Air Strike Hazard (BASH) area 	I)			

APPLICANT Jim Chapman Communities, Inc	<u>/.</u>			PET	TITION NO.	<u>Z-022</u>
PRESENT ZONING <u>RA-5, R-20</u>				PET	TITION FOR	<u>RA-5</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * * * *	* *	* * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments refl	ect o	nly what facilities w	/ere	in exis	stence at the tim	ne of this review.
Available at Development:	✓	Yes			No	
Fire Flow Test Required:		Yes			No	
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6" A	\C / I	N side of Cooper	Lake	e Rd.		
Additional Comments: Acceptance of water/sew Review	/er in	frastructure conti	inger	nt upo	on design to be	e worked out at Plan
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based on Review Process.	fire flo	ow test results or Fire Do	epartm	nent Co	de. This will be res	colved in the Plan
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *	* * * * * * * * *	* *	* * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments	reflec	t only what facilitie	s wei	re in e	xistence at the t	time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	\checkmark	Yes			No	
At Development:	\checkmark	Yes			No	
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: On	site.	Relocation design	n nec	cessar	y at Plan Revi	iew.
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A l	D F=	2,560		Р	eak= 6,400	
Treatment Plant:		South	Cobl	b		
Plant Capacity:	\checkmark	Available		Not 2	Available	
Line Capacity:	\checkmark	Available		Not a	Available	
Proiected Plant Availability:	\checkmark	0 - 5 vears		5 - 1	0 vears \Box	over 10 years
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	\checkmark	No		
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No		ents are required, Developer ments to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	✓	No	review/approval a	as to form and stipulations tion of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	✓	No	property owners.	All easement acquisitions ility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department:	:	Yes	✓	No		
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	✓	No		

Additional Preferred design of sewer relocation will likely require offsite easement at Plan Review Comments:

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Communities, Inc.

PETITION NO.: Z-22

PRESENT ZONING: <u>RA-5, R-20</u>

PETITION FOR: <u>RA-5</u>

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS

FLOOD HAZARD: XES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 DRAINAGE BASIN: Laurel Creek FLOOD HAZARD INFO: Zone X FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood. Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED FLOOD HAZARD. Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance Requirements. Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need to keep residential buildings out of hazard.
WETLANDS: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
Location:
The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining any required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer.
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of Chattahoochee River) ARC (review 35' undisturbed buffer each side of waterway). Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Ordinance - County Review/State Review. Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 foot streambank buffers. County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each side of creek channel.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION
 Potential or Known drainage problems exist for developments downstream from this site. Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed the capacity available in the downstream storm drainage system. Minimize runoff into public roads.
 Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges onto adjacent properties. Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive concentrated discharges where none exist naturally
Existing Lake Downstream Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be required.
Lake Study needed to document sediment levels.
Stormwater discharges through an established residential neighborhood downstream.
$\overline{\boxtimes}$ Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increased volume of runoff generated by the proposed

project on downstream receiving system.

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Communities, Inc.

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-22</u>

PRESENT ZONING: <u>RA-5, R-20</u>

PETITION FOR: <u>RA-5</u>

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS – Continued

SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS

- Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to include development of out parcels.
- Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.
- Any **spring activity** uncovered must be addressed by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Structural fill _____ must be placed under the direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Existing facility.
- Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County Water Quality Ordinance.
- Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline conditions into proposed project.
- Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.
- Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and pollution.

INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION

No Stormwater controls shown

Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may be forthcoming when current site conditions are exposed.

No site improvements showing on exhibit.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This parcel drains to the west through existing residential lots. The site receives stormwater runoff from commercial and residential parcels located to the east along Atlanta Road which must be conveyed through the site. There is an existing 54-inch CMP culvert located at the downstream property line. However, the capacity of this pipe is limited by a 36-inch RCP culvert within 30 feet of the property line. Both properties located immediately to the west have experienced flooding due to upstream runoff. The applicant is proposing to pass the existing offsite runoff through the site to the current discharge point. However, due to the existing downstream drainage issues, the onsite runoff from the proposed development will be discharged through a new pipe system to be installed within the Cooper Lake Drive right-of-way.
- 2. Since private streets are proposed all stormwater management within the development will be privately maintained as well.

APPLICANT: Jim Chapman Communities, Inc.PETITION NO.: Z-22PRESENT ZONING: RA-5, R-20PETITION FOR: RA-5

TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Cooper Lake Road	4100	Minor Collector	35 mph	Cobb County	60'

Based on 2010 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT for Cooper Lake Road.

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Cooper Lake Road is classified as a minor collector and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant of O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the north side of Cooper Lake Road, a minimum of 30' from the roadway centerline.

Recommend replacing disturbed curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the Cooper Lake Road frontage.

Recommend a taper for the Cooper Lake Road access.

Recommend private streets be constructed to the Cobb County Standard Specifications.

Recommend applicant verify that minimum intersection sight distance is available for ingress and egress maneuvers for the site if it is not, implement remedial measures, subject to the Department's approval, to achieve the minimum requirement of 390 feet.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Z-22 JIM CHAPMAN COMMUNITIES, INC.

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Adjacent and nearby properties are zoned RA-5, RST-6, RM-8, R-20, O&I and GC.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The applicant's proposal would be compatible with other properties in the area. The applicant's proposal would be an aesthetic upgrade of this property and would continue to encourage the trend of redeveloping properties in the area.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates a part of the property to be in the Medium Density Residential Land Use Category with densities ranging from 2.5 to 5 units per acre. The proposed density is 3.45 units per acre. However, a portion of the property is designated as Low Density Residential along Cooper Lake Road, but it has RA-5, RST-6 and RM-8 zoning adjoining or near this property.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal. A portion of the applicant's proposal is located in a Medium Density Residential Land Use Category. The applicant's proposal would be consistent with newer subdivisions in the area such as Olde Whiteoak Estates to the west (2.25 upa), Holden Estates to the east (4.50 upa) and Paces Walk to the southeast (7.70 upa). Moreover, the applicant's proposal would continue to help develop older, distressed properties.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends APPROVAL subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Site plan received by the Zoning Division on January 7, 2016, with the District Commissioner approving minor modifications;
- 2. Fire Department comments and recommendations;
- 3. Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- 4. Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- 5. Department of Transportation comments and recommendations; and
- 6. Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

Application No. Z- 22 PC Hearing: March 1, 2016

BOC Hearing: March 15, 2016

Summary of Intent for Rezoning *

Part 1.	Reside	ntial Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)
	a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s): Ranging from 3,000 sq. ft. to 4,000 sq. ft. and higher
	b)	Proposed building architecture: Traditional (see attached photographs & renderings/elevations)
	c)	Proposed selling prices(s): \$700,000 and above
	d)	List all requested variances: None at this time
		DECEIVED
Part 2.		esidential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)
	a)	Proposed use(s): Not Applicable COBB CO. COMM. PEV. AGENCY ZONNO D.VISION
	b)	Proposed building architecture:
	c)	Proposed hours/days of operation:
	d)	List all requested variances:
		er Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed) property is situated on Cobb County's Future Land Use Map in areas that are denominated both as Medium Density
Resi	dential	("MDR") and Low Density Residential ("LDR"). A previous application for rezoning concerning essentially the sam
prop	erty (No	b. Z-32[2014]) was recommended for APPROVAL, with staff noting that adjacent and nearby properties are zoned
RA-	5, FST-	6, RM-8, R-20, O&I and GC.
	. Is an	y of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Government?
Part 4		
Part 4		e list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., and attach a

* Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth in this Summary of Intent for Rezoning, or any other portion of the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.





